# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scamning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
D BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES:
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,385 05/15/2001		05/15/2001	Jheroen P. Dorenbosch	PF02177NA	8937
23447	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROI			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
5401 NORT MAILSTOP	<del>-</del>	ISTREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WORTH, TX 76137				2686	
				DATE MAIL ED. 11/02/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>_</del>		Application No.	Applicant(c)
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/855,385	DORENBOSCH
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAIL INC DATE AND	Naghmeh Mehrpour	2686
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the cover sneet with	1 the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a statute, cause the application to become ABA	Oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		·
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>7/2/04</u> .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Dispositi	on of Claims	<b>.</b>	
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wind Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment	(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ · No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s).  SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info  6) ☐ Other:	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·

Art Unit: 2686

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aravamudan et al. (US Patent Number 6,301,609 B1) in view of Robinson et al. (US Patent Number 6,760,580 B2).

  Regarding claims 1-2, Aravamudan teaches a method of emerging Instant

Regarding claims 1-2, Aravamudan teaches a method of emerging Instant Messaging (col 2 lines 60-63), comprising:

causing a mobile subscriber to register with an instant message system prior to participating in an instant message session (col 5 lines 5-9),

indicating availability of the mobile subscriber to buddies of the mobile subscriber (col 7 lines 1-8);

receiving an instant message intended for the mobile subscriber from one of the buddies (col 5 lines 25-31); and

Aravamudan fails to teach that the method of emerging deleting the instant message intended for the mobile subscriber from the one of the buddies if the mobile subscriber does not receive the instant message after the instant message is resent a predetermined number of times. However Robinson teaches a method for delivering of an instant message to a subscriber over multiple communication channels and further teaches deleting the instant message

Art Unit: 2686

intended for the mobile subscriber (col 7 lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Robinson with Aravamudan, in order to provide a communication system that rapidly escalating in popularity is based instant messaging which offers more instantaneous gratification with respect to interactive communications between two or more users.

Regarding claim 3, Aravamudan teaches a mobile subscriber instant message system, comprising;

an instant proxy for receiving registration information from a mobile subscriber and for subsequently indicating to registered system subscribers participating in an instant message session that the mobile subscriber is available for receiving instant messages (col 2 lines 31-38); Aravamudan allows for a high degree of control to be retained by the user, through the user of instant Messaging, to direct delivery of data and communications (col 9 lines 34-45). For example upon notification of a pending event of received data or communications by the communication service platform, the user may selects to reject communication or to have data forwarded to Messaging system or elect to conference parties (col 11 lines 35-45). Aravamudan does not teach the instant Messaging proxy resending an instant message intended for the mobile subscriber if the subscriber received no response. However Robinson teaches a method for delivering of an instant message to a subscriber over multiple communication channels and further teaches deleting the instant message intended for the mobile subscriber (col 7 lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of Robinson with Aravamudan, in order to provide a communication system that

Art Unit: 2686

rapidly escalating in popularity is based instant messaging which offers more instantaneous gratification with respect to interactive communications between two or more users.

Regarding claims 4-5, Aravamudan teaches a mobile subscriber instant message system wherein the instant message proxy is located an instant message server (See figure 1, numerals 120, 130); and the instant message proxy is located in proximity a mobile system gateway (See figure 1, numerals 130, 126).

Regarding claim 7, Aravamudan teaches a mobile subscriber instant message system further comprising a log in server with which the mobile subscriber must register prior to participating in an instant message session, the log in server for indicating to the registered system subscribers participating in the instant message session that the mobile subscriber is available for receiving instant messages (col 7 lines 33-37, col 8 lines 56-63).

3. Claim 6, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aravamudan et al. (US Patent Number 6,301,609 B1) in view of Robinson et al. (US Patent Number 6,760580), in further view of Drottar et al. (US Patent Number 6,333,929 B1).

Regarding claim 6. Aravamudan teaches a mobile subscriber instant message system wherein the instant message proxy (col 2 lines 32-38, col 7 lines 41-49). The combination of Aravamudan modified by Robinson fails to teach that Proxy includes at least one of a timer and a counter programmed to limit the instant message from being sent to the mobile subscriber for at least one of only the predetermined number of times and within a predetermined time

Art Unit: 2686

period. However Drottar teaches a timer and a counter programmed to limit the message from being sent to remote device for the predetermined number of times and within a predetermined time period (col 9 lines 40-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Drottar method with Aravamudan modified by Robinson, in order to enhance the system performance by providing more accuracy.

4. Claims 8-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aravamudan et al. (US Patent Number 6,301,609 B1) in view of Mawhinney (US Patent Number 6,091,710).

Regarding claims 8-9, 17, 19-20, Aravamudan teaches a method of a service providers client access to one or more plurality of client premises equipments (CPE) 140, the CPE can be a wireless phone, and service provider can be PSTN. Communications and data can be exchange between the CPE and PSTN via switch module 124 or routing module 122 (see figure 1). An Instant Messaging system is utilized to provide new and useful features and services for clients (col 4 lines 54-64). A communication service platform (CSP) is registered with the IM server as a \( \subscriber below \) to the subscriber client. The location of subscribers CPE is located by the CPS 160, the CPS initiates communications to the subscribing client via instant messages, and the CPS solicits a response from the subscribers CPE (col 5 line 15-31). The method comprising: notifying the mobile subscriber when instant message parameters reach a predetermined limit (col 10 lines 23-31). When the subscribers are off line, all others (buddies) who have identified the user as a buddy are notified that the user is not online and is not available (col 10 lines 11-15). Aravamudan fails to teach that queuing instant messages intended for the

Art Unit: 2686

mobile subscriber while the mobile subscriber is not registered with the instant message system, and facilitating connection of the mobile subscriber to the instant message system to enable the mobile subscriber to retrieve the queued instant messages. However Mawhinney teaches a method of reducing data queuing instant messages intended for data packets that are transmitted in accordance with Internet protocol. TCP is utilized at the transport layer to provide flow control utilizing message acknowledgments (col 8 lines 19-20). Three nodes are illustrated in Figure 4, an upstream node 160 (Mobile unit), and intermediate node, which also provide queuing function, or a down stream node 162, and a destination node end-point 164 (Instant Messaging) (col 9 lines 30-35). The intermediate node 162 (queuing function) is capable of identifying acknowledgments from the end point 164 (Instant Messaging) by the information contained in the TCP header. In figure 5, shows five messages have been transmitted by the upstream node (Mobile Unit) without receiving acknowledgments from queuing. The upstream 160 (Mobile unit) waits to receive acknowledgments, thereafter transmits messages on a one-to-one basis. Once limit of queuing is reached maximum, then Mobile would not transmit further message until another message (acknowledgments) is received. Another word the intermediate node (Mobile Unit) starts transmitting messages to IM service when the queuing is reached to Maximum number. For example upon max transmission of seven (n=5), having received only four acknowledgments, the upstream nodes (Mobile units) waits receipt of the fifth acknowledgment (col 10 lines 32-65). Then when the maximized Queuing is reached, Mobile starts establishing connection between mobile subscriber and instant message service. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Mawhinney method with

Art Unit: 2686

Aravamudan, in order to establish connection between Mobile unit and Instant
Messaging service while avoiding excess queuing message for IM.

Regarding claims 10-12, 14-15, Aravamudan does not specifically mention that notifying the mobile subscriber when queued instant message parameters reach a predetermined limit comprises notifying the mobile subscriber when a predetermined number of buddies send messages intended for the mobile subscriber within a predetermined amount of time. However Mawhinney teaches the notifying the mobile subscriber when queued instant message parameters reach a predetermined limit (col 11 lines 1-9 and abstract) comprises notifying the mobile subscriber when a predetermined number of buddies send messages intended for the mobile subscriber within a predetermined amount of time (col 10 lines 42-65). Mawhinney teaches that once the system threshold has reached, for example the system threshold is five, five messages have been transmitted by upstream node, without receiving acknowledgments the upstream node waits to receive acknowledgment, (waiting times is the predetermined times). The five messages are queued for a certain amount of time (waiting period) till an acknowledgment received, and thereafter transmits messages. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Mawhinney teaching to Aravamudan, in order to improve the performance by better bandwidth utilization.

Regarding claim 16, Aravamudan fails to teach a method wherein the predetermined limit in the notifying the mobile when queued instant message parameters reach a predetermined limit is based at least in part on mobile subscriber-based instructions. However Mawhinney teaches the notifying the

Art Unit: 2686

mobile subscriber when queued instant message parameters reach a predetermined limit (col 11 lines 1-10) comprises notifying the mobile subscriber when a predetermined number of buddies send messages intended for the mobile subscriber within a predetermined amount of time (col 10 lines 42-65). Mawhinney teaches that once the system threshold has reached, for example the system threshold is five, five messages have been transmitted by upstream node, without receiving acknowledgments the upstream node waits to receive acknowledgment, (waiting times is the predetermined times). The five messages are queued for a certain amount of time (waiting period) till an acknowledgment received, and thereafter transmits messages. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Mawhinney teaching to Aravamudan, in order to improve the performance by better bandwidth utilization. (col 7 lines 35-44, col 9 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 18, Aravamudan fails to teach a method further comprising downloading the queued instant messages intended for the mobile subscriber when one of a high priority instant message is received and the mobile subscriber sends an outgoing message. However Mawhinney teaches a method comprising: downloading the queued instant messages intended for the mobile subscriber when one of a high priority instant message is received and the mobile subscriber sends an outgoing message (col 2 lines 25-36, col 10 lines 42-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Mawhinney teaching to Aravamudan, in order to achieve better bandwidth utilization, and provide better feasibility for the system.

Art Unit: 2686

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### . Conclusion

## 6. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 703-308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (703) 305-4379.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

November 1, 2004